

Introducing the world of megaprojects

Andrew Davies



Pre-sessional introduction Wednesday 10th August 2016

Professor in The Management of Projects



Overview

- 1. Megaprojects
- 2. Case study of London 2012 Olympics
- 3. Research-led teaching



Overview

1. Megaprojects

- 2. Case study of London 2012 Olympics
- 3. Research-led teaching



Megaprojects

- Strategic high-risk infrastructure projects
 \$1bn or more (US dollars 2003)
- Large temporary coalition of clients and contractors
- Investment in infrastructure \$57 trillion 2012-2030 (McKinsey 2013)
- 'Productivity paradox' (Flyvbjerg et al 2003)
- 90% are over budget (Flyvbjerg 2014)
- Need to build client and contractor capabilities to improve megaproject performance







Cost overruns in megaprojects*





* Flyvbjerg (2014) "What you should know about megaprojects and why: an overview" *Project Management Journal* 45(2)

	Project	Cost Overrun (%)
	Suez Canal, Egypt	1,900
	Scottish Parliament Building, Scotland	1,600
	Sydney Opera House, Australia	1,400
	Montreal Summer Olympics, Canada	1,300
	Concorde Supersonic Aeroplane, UK, France	1,100
	Troy and Greenfield Railroad, USA	900
	Excalibur Smart Projectile, USA, Sweden	650
	Canadian Firearms Registry, Canada	590
	Lake Placid Winter Olympics, USA	560
	Medicare transaction system, USA	560
	Bank of Norway headquarters, Norway	440
	Furka Base Tunnel, Switzerland	300
	Verrazano Narrow Bridge, USA	280
\leq	Boston's Big Dig Artery/Tunnel project, USA	220
	Denver International Airport, USA	200
	Panama Canal, Panama	200
	Minneapolis Hiawatha light rail line, USA	190
	Humber Bridge, UK	180
	Dublin Port Tunnel, Ireland	160
	Montreal Metro Laval extension, Canada	160
	Copenhagen Metro, Denmark	150
	Boston-New York-Washington Railway, USA	130
	Great Belt Rail Tunnel, Denmark	120
	London Limehouse Road Tunnel, UK	110
	Brooklyn Bridge, USA	100
	Shinkansen Joetsu high-speed rail line, Japan	100
	Channel Tunnel, UK, France	80
	Karlsruhe–Bretten light rail, Germany	80
	London Jubilee Line extension, UK	80
	Bangkok Metro, Thailand	70
	Mexico City Metroline, Mexico	60
	High-speed Rail Line South, The Netherlands	60
	Great Belt East Bridge, Denmark	50
	Table 2: Large-scale projects have a calamitous history of cost overrun.	



The traditional delivery model

- The traditional process used to delivery megaprojects
 - Resist innovation
 - Fixed-price contracts
 - Transfer risk to the contractor
 - Client selects lowest price bid
 - Neglect learning from other projects and industries



Channel Tunnel



Wembley



National Air Traffic



London's megaproject laboratory

Heathrow Terminal 5



2002-2008

London 2012 Olympics



Crossrail



2006-2012

2007-2018



Clients lead the way

Repeat client

"Permanent organisation"Strong client with in-house capability

 Participates in integrated project teams

One-off client

"Temporary organisation"
Strong client team works with delivery partner
Appoints delivery partner(s) to manage programme







Overview

- 1. Megaprojects
- 2. Case study of London 2012 Olympics
- 3. Research-led teaching



London 2012 Olympics





Winning the bid in July 2005





Project goals

- Olympic Delivery Authority (client) created as executive public body (accountable to UK government)
- Mission: to deliver venues, infrastructure and transport for the 'the world's biggest event"
 - Construct London 2012 and Paralympic Games on time and to budget
 - Leave a lasting legacy of benefits for London and the UK (6 priority themes)
- Revised budget for construction of £8.1 billion
 Opening one year early on 27th July 2011



Timeline

- Year 1 (2006-2007)
- Year 2 (to Beijing 2008)
- Year 3 (to 27 July 2009)
- Year 4 (to 27 July 2010)
- Year 5 (to 27 July 2011)
- Year 6 (to 27 July 2012)

Planning

Demolish, Dig, Design

The Big Build (Foundations)

The Big Build (Structures)

The Big Build (Completion)

Testing, commissioning and handover for the Games



Project organisation



**CH2M Hill, Laing O'Rourke & Mace



The challenge facing the ODA

Transform

- 200 Hectares of Brownfield land across 4 London Boroughs
- Waterways and rail lines
- 200 buildings, 52 electricity pylons in situ

<u>To</u>

- 14 permanent and temporary sporting venues
- 20km new roads, 13km tunnels, 26 bridges, new utilities infrastructure
- 80 hectares of new parklands
- The Olympic Village

<u>via</u>

- Masterplanning and submission of around 750 planning applications
- Around 70 individual projects
- 300 contracts



Delivery strategic challenges

- Immoveable deadline 27th July 2012
- Defining the budget (Original Baseline Budget – Yellow Book Nov. 2007)
- Highly visible public interest and scrutiny
- Dual objectives of venues "fit for purpose" for both Games and legacy
- Scale and complexity = delivery partner approach
- Multiple clients, often with conflicting objectives & working to different timescales
- Potential (global) reputational impact for the UK
- Large and visible public investment = requires transparency & scrutiny



Outcome

- Opened for testing on 27th July 2011
- Ready for the games on 27th July 2012
- Construction was within revised budget £6.8 billion (£8.1 billion)
- Achieved Priority Themes (sustainability, employment, health & safety, diversity, etc)
- Longer term legacy for London?





Overview

- 1. Megaprojects
- 2. Case study of London 2012 Olympics
- 3. Research-led teaching



What can we learn from the case?



Uncertainty



Research-led teaching: lesson 1

• How did the project cope with complexity?



System of systems project













Coping with complexity





Delivery model

- Needed mature delivery capability quickly
 - Appointed Delivery Partner with turnkey capability
 - CH2M Hill, Laing O'Rourke and Mace (CLM)
 - CLM role project and programme management
- ODA "intelligent" (not "thin") client
 - Stakeholder management, effective internal and external communications
 - Allowed CLM to focus on delivery
- Collaborative arrangements with principal contractors
 - Menu of contracts (New Engineering Contracts)
 - Encourage alignment of targets between contractors with differing interests
 - Contracts incorporated priority themes targets



Olympics: client and delivery partner





The ODA's stakeholder network



LAST UPDATED - 13 JULY 2010



Research-led teaching: lesson 2

• How did the project deal with uncertainty?



Two types of uncertainty

Foreseen uncertainty

- Known unknowns
- Identifiable and understood influences that the team cannot be sure will occur
- Requires risk management with alternative plans

Unforeseen uncertainty

- Unknown unknowns
- Can't be identified during project planning
- Requires collaborative problem-solving and innovation



Olympic Stadium

McAlpine Target Cost (NEC3 Option C) Construction of the 80,000 capacity stadium Completed in March 2011 safely, on time and within budget



Aquatics Centre

Balfour Beatty

- Target Cost (NEC3 Option C)
- Completed in July 2011
- **Architect Zaha Hadid**
- 2 swimming pools & 2 temporary "wings"
- 17,500 capacity during the games & 2,500 capacity in legacy



Athletes Village

Lend Lease

- Changed from Construction Management (CM) to mix of CM and Design & Build (fixed price NEC3 Option A)
- Completed in December 2011
- 17,000 athletes and officials
- 2,818 new homes in legacy



Velodrome

• ISG

- Target Cost (NEC3 Option C)
- Construction of the cycling track
 completed in February 2011



International Broadcast Centre/Press Centre

Carillion Design & Build (fixed price NEC Option A) Completed in July 2011 Venue supported 20,000 broadcasters and journalists during the games

Dealing with uncertainty







UC



2002-2008

2006-2012

2007-2018



High-Speed 2





- Thanks for listening
 - Any questions?